Case 3:07-cv-02388-DMS-POR Document 46

Filed 07/22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2/20	FILED	
	JUL <b>2 2</b> 2008	
SOU BY	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COU THERN DISTRICT OF CALIF This spaced Cterk's Office Fit	ORNIA

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES** 

	,		
TO: U. S. DISTR FROM: J. Paris,	ICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Sabraw  Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/22/08		
CASE NO.: <u>07cv23</u>			
	. USA, et al.		
DOCUMENT ENTITL	ED: Change of Address		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy		
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation		
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
<b>5.4</b>	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.		
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
7.1	Missing table of contents		
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
	Supplemental documents require court order		
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
<b>U</b>	OTHER: Case Closed		
X	OTHER. Case Closed		
	Date forwarded: <u>7/22/08</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
JT JS HEREBY ORDE			
The document is  The document is	to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
The document is copy of this orde	NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a r on all parties.		
Rejected docume	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant			
to Local Rule 83	CHAMBERS OF: <u>Indge Sabraw</u>		
Dated: $\frac{7/22}{\text{cc: All Parties}}$	08 By:		
1 III I WI WO			